

THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH (State Bar No. 074414)  
 THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH,  
*A Professional Law Corporation*  
 4328 Redwood Hwy, Suite 300  
 San Rafael, CA 94903  
 Telephone: 415/674-8600  
 Facsimile: 415/674-9900

Attorneys for Plaintiffs CRAIG YATES  
 and DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT,  
 EDUCATION SERVICES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 IN AND FOR SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

CRAIG YATES, an individual; and	)	<b>CASE NO. CGC-07-469605</b>
DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT,	)	
EDUCATION, SERVICES: HELPING	)	<b>OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF RELATED</b>
YOU HELP OTHERS, a California public	)	<b>CASES</b>
benefit corporation,	)	
	)	<b>PROPOSED CASES:</b>
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	<b>CGC-06-452090;</b>
v.	)	
	)	<b>CGC-06-459119;</b>
	)	
LA ROCCA'S CORNER; LEO LAROCCA,	)	<b>CGC-07-467642;</b>
TRUSTEE OF THE LEO LAROCCA	)	
REVOCABLE TRUST; PURGATORY	)	<b>CGC-07-469073;</b>
INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1-	)	
20, inclusive,	)	<b>CGC-08-473260;</b>
	)	
Defendants.	)	<b>CGC-08-473176</b>

CAVEAT: Attorney Frank S. Moore, on behalf of defendant PURGATORY INC., a California corporation, appears to have filed a Notice of Related cases totaling six hundred and thirty-six (636). It is impossible for plaintiffs' counsel to specifically respond within the required five (5) day period and can only do so on active cases as plaintiffs' counsel does not have the resources to accomplish the task of responding to cases already dismissed. Plaintiffs' counsel, therefore, will make an applicable general statement.

Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel of record, submit their opposition to the Notice of Related Cases filed by defendant PURGATORY INC., a California corporation, seeking to relate the six hundred and thirty-six (636) cases listed in Exhibit A to this action.

1 In opposition, plaintiffs wish to make the Court aware of the following information:

2 1. That relating thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs and six hundred and thirty-six (636) cases  
3 is ludicrous.

4 2. That each of the six hundred and thirty-six (636) cases involve different  
5 defendants, with no one (1) defendant being named in more than one action (1) involving the  
6 same public accommodation. The only similarity between the defendant parties is their  
7 respective interests in the ownership and/or operation of various places of public accommodation  
8 throughout Northern and Southern California. The Court would not find that Jane Doe, the  
9 owner of the Burger King in Eureka, is substantially the same party as John Smith, the owner of  
10 the Riverbend Restaurant in Sacramento, or that either would have any relationship to the  
11 defendant City of Fort Bragg for purposes of case relation. Similarly, the Court should  
12 recognize that the defendants to the actions at issue here are separate and unique individuals  
13 and/or entities.

14 3. That the actions do not arise from the same or substantially identical happenings  
15 or events insomuch as the physical premises at issue in each of the six hundred and thirty-six  
16 (636) actions are wholly unique. While there are admittedly some similarities in the categories of  
17 architectural barriers present at the subject businesses, *i.e.* several of the businesses may not  
18 provide disabled parking, parking signage, directional signage, accessible entrances, accessible  
19 dining areas, accessible hotel rooms, usable sidewalks, accessible men's and women's restrooms,  
20 etc.; there are numerous discrete architectural issues which are specific to each business. For  
21 instance, one restaurant has a step at the entrance which can be remedied through installation of  
22 an accessible ramp; another has doors so narrow a wheelchair user cannot pass through; a third  
23 has no disabled parking. Because the premises are different, the discrete architectural barriers  
24 encountered by plaintiffs are different, and thus, it cannot be said that the actions arise from the  
25 same or substantially identical happenings or events.

26 4. That the capacities of the various defendants to remove architectural barriers at  
27 their respective places of public accommodation is very likely different, and change the legal and  
28 factual issues which will need to be decided by the Court and/or the jury in each individual case.

1 The barrier removal requirements of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”),  
2 the Unruh Civil Rights Act and the California Disabled Persons Act, are intended to be applied  
3 on a case-by-case basis, with Congress and the Legislature recognizing the differing abilities of  
4 business owners to provide disability access in existing facilities. What may be a readily  
5 achievable barrier removal for one defendant, may not be readily achievable for another— there is  
6 no cookie-cutter answer to what is or is not readily achievable. Therefore, the factually intensive  
7 inquiry which must be undertaken precludes the consolidated type of discovery and motion  
8 practice which is suggested by relating the cases.

9         5. That the unique construction history of each of the subject public  
10 accommodations—when they were built, whether they have undergone alteration or  
11 modification—subjects these public accommodations to differing disability access standards. As  
12 an example, an accommodation built prior to 1990 will be subject to the barrier removal  
13 requirements of the ADA whereas an accommodation built after 1990 will be subject to the new  
14 construction standard of the ADA. Similarly, an accommodation built or altered after July 1982  
15 must comply with the accessibility standards of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations  
16 (“Title 24”) as they stood at the time of construction. Therefore, to the extent the six hundred  
17 and thirty-six (636) different public accommodations have their own construction histories, they  
18 are potentially liable for violation of different disability access standards each of which offer  
19 defendants different possible defenses.

20         6. That other types of factual issues, characterized in the Notice of Related Cases as  
21 being identical, are in fact, quite specific to each action.

22                 (A) Whether the claimed barriers actually barred plaintiffs from the subject  
23 businesses. This issue is obviously dependent upon the nature and severity of the architectural  
24 barriers specific to each public accommodation. As the barriers vary from place to place, the  
25 degree to which each of the thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs was denied access will also vary.

26                 (B) If there is technical non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities  
27 Act Accessibility Guidelines (“ADAAG”) and Title 24, did the Defendants give each of the  
28 thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs effective, meaningful access to their facility? Again, to the extent that

1 the nature and severity of the architectural barriers vary, the degree of access will vary from case  
2 to case.

3 (C) What is the extent of each of the thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs' alleged bodily  
4 injuries? Was each plaintiff injured, or otherwise damaged? In almost all instances, the effort to  
5 overcome architectural barriers to access results in some level of bodily injury to a plaintiff.  
6 However, the type of injury and the extent to which it causes pain and suffering is particular to  
7 each case. In one case, plaintiff may strain his arms and shoulders while struggling to roll his  
8 wheelchair up an excessively steep ramp; while in another case, a plaintiff may slip and fall  
9 while attempting to transfer from his wheelchair to a toilet without assistance of grab bars. The  
10 injuries suffered by each of the thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs are far from identical in each case.

11 (D) What defendants and most Courts don't realize is that what paraplegics  
12 and functional quadriplegics rely upon is their upper extremities, (functional quadriplegics to a  
13 significantly lesser degree). Day in and day out they attempt to overcome a variety of barriers,  
14 some architectural and some natural. What is a simple task such as opening a door can become a  
15 monumental task, if that door and the pressure needed to open it take tremendous individual  
16 pressure. This often leads to what we refer to as repetitive, continuous and cumulative trauma to  
17 the upper extremities. It may only last thirty (30) seconds to two (2) minutes, but nevertheless,  
18 no one is able to say this does not constitute an injury. That's why it is so important to plead and  
19 why the public needs to understand it! Defendants need only take depositions to confirm this.

20 7. That many, if not all, of the potential legal issues raised by these cases are issues  
21 which could be raised in virtually every disability access suit filed with this Court or any other  
22 Court. Just because the general causes of action pled by plaintiffs lend themselves to certain  
23 generic legal issues does not warrant relating the matters.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 If such were the case, the Court would have to relate every disability access action currently  
 2 pending on its docket.

3 8. Further, Rule 3.300 (a), Related Cases, states:

4 A pending civil case is related to another pending civil case, or to a civil case that  
 5 was dismissed with or without prejudice, or to a civil case that was disposed of by  
 judgment, if the cases:

- 6 (1) Involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar claims;
- 7 (2) Arise from the same manner or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or  
 events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions  
 of law or fact;
- 8 (3) Involve claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property; or
- 9 (4) Are likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources  
 if heard by different judges.

10 Plaintiffs respond to each criteria as follows:

11 **(1) Involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar claims**

12 Other than the fact that many plaintiffs have filed multiple actions for  
 13 access alleging violations of the ADA, Civil Code § 51, Civil Code § 54, and  
 14 California Health & Safety Code §19955, *et seq.*, there is nothing else related to  
 15 these cases.

16 Each case involves a different public accommodation. Each case  
 17 involves different defendants in that it is rare that any other case has the same  
 18 landlord and tenant. Each case involves different operational facts as to what took  
 19 place. Each case has a different “readily achievable” standard or affirmative  
 20 defense standard. Each case involves differing financial conditions for each  
 21 defendant in asserting the readily achievable defense of financial inability.

22 **(2) Arise from the same manner or substantially identical transactions,**  
 23 **incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or**  
**substantially identical questions of law or fact**

24 None of these cases arise from the same or substantially identical  
 25 transactions, incidents or events, to wit: how are cases that were filed in 1999, or  
 26 nine years ago, conceivably related at all? How are the claims of over 38  
 27 plaintiffs related when, other than DREES, it appears that almost none were co-  
 28 plaintiffs in any action filed?

Each incident and event in each of the cases was different, *i.e.* no two parking lots are the same, no two entrances are the same, no placement of signage would be the same, no two men's restrooms were the same, no two women's restrooms were the same, no two buildings were the same, etc. The same can be said for the elements in the restrooms, *i.e.* the type and placement of paper towel dispensers, toilet tissue dispensers, soap dispensers, toilet seat heights-all are very different. The affirmative defenses of these landlord-defendants and tenant-defendants differ from one another in these cases.

**(3) Involve claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property**  
Not applicable.

**(4) Are likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges**

It's ludicrous to think there would be substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges considering the fact that the only common thread that runs between them are the causes of action that are alleged. It would be the same as saying that all air crash litigation should be related because they involve airports, airlines, manufacturers, and pilots that all led to a disaster.

Not one of the cases that Defendant is trying to relate have a substantial identical transaction requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact because there are different operative facts, different landlords, different tenants and different barriers. The only thing that is similar is the fact that they are civil rights claims, as stated herein above.

For all of the forgoing reasons, plaintiffs believe that it would be improper and impractical to relate and/or reassign the subject cases.

Furthermore, there is absolutely no legal justification for defense counsel to file such an unmeritorious notice. As such, plaintiffs request that it be awarded attorneys fees and costs for the substantial time necessitated to respond and oppose this notice.

///

1 These sanctions would be requested pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and  
2 California Code of Civil Procedure §128.5.

3 Finally, plaintiffs' counsel requests that Attorney Frank S. Moore be sanctioned by the  
4 courts under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and California Code of Civil Procedure §128.5  
5 for filing such a frivolous notice with the Court that has required substantial resources not only  
6 for plaintiffs' counsel but also considerable resources for the number of courts and counsel who  
7 have received and must appropriately handle this notice.

8  
9 Dated: September 2, 2008

THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH,  
*A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION*

10  
11  
12 By:                     /S/                      
13 Thomas E. Frankovich  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs CRAIG YATES and  
15 DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT,  
16 EDUCATION SERVICES  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Exhibit A



Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</b>			
	<b>Name</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Filing Date</b>
1	CRAIG YATES et al vs. CIGAR AMOUR et al	CGC-06-452090	05/09/06
2	CRAIG YATES et al vs. JUST DESSERTS et al	CGC-06-452131	05/10/06
3	CRAIG YATES et al vs. THE ABBEY TAVERN et al	CGC-06-452509	05/23/06
4	CRAIG YATES et al vs. DRAGON CITY RESTAURANT et al	CGC-06-456662	10/02/06
5	CRAIG YATES et al vs. PAKWAN RESTAURANT et al	CGC-06-459119	12/28/06
6	CRAIG YATES et al vs. RICO'S RESTAURANT et al	CGC-07-467642	09/27/07
7	CRAIG YATES et al vs. K & L RESTAURANT et al	CGC-07-469073	11/09/07
8	CRAIG YATES et al vs. CANDYSTORE COLLECTIVES et al	CGC-08-470951	01/10/08
9	CRAIG YATES et al vs. UNION SQUARE et al	CGC-08-473176	03/11/08
10	CRAIG YATES et al vs. DA FLORA RESTAURANT et al	CGC-08-473260	03/13/08
11	CRAIG YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al	CGC-08-473716	03/27/08
12	LES JANKEY et al vs. QUEEN ANNE HOTEL et al	CGC-05-443-089	07/13/05
13	LES JANKEY et al vs. HERITAGE MARINA HOTEL et al	CGC-05-443128	07/14/05
14	LES JANKEY et al vs. MARK TWAIN HOTEL et al	CGC-05-443154	07/15/05
15	LES JANKEY et al vs. EL HERRADERO RESTAURANT et al	CGC-05-443179	07/18/05
16	LES JANKEY et al vs. THE BLUE MUSE RESTAURANT et al	CGC-06-452092	05/09/06
17	NICOLE MOSS et al vs. RED JADE RESTAURANT et al	CGC-06-452098	05/10/06
18	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. TWILIGHT ZONE et al	CGC-06-452101	05/10/06
19	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. NORTH BEACH PIZZA et al	CGC-06-452129	05/10/06
20	LES JANKEY et al vs. ASA SUSHI et al	CGC-06-452130	05/10/06
21	CRAIG YATES et al vs. JUST DESSERTS et al	CGC-06-452131	05/10/06
22	NICOLE MOSS et al vs. ROYAL GROUND COFFEE et al	CGC-06-452133	05/10/06
23	CRAIG YATES et al vs. THE ABBEY TAVERN et al	CGC-06-452509	05/23/06
24	LES JANKEY et al vs. LAUREL INN ASSOCIATES et al	CGC-06-452983	06/08/06
25	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. O'REILLY'S IRISH PUB & REST. et al	CGC-06-454493	07/25/06
26	LES JANKEY et al vs. TIA MARGARITA et al	CGC-06-455148	08/15/06
27	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. SZECHUAN TASTE REST. et al	CGC-06-455150	08/15/06
28	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. LUISA'S RESTORANTE et al	CGC-06-457253	10/23/06
29	CRAIG YATES et al vs. PAKWAN RESTAURANT et al	CGC-06-459119	12/28/06
30	LES JANKEY et al vs. THE HOTEL MAJESTIC et al	CGC-07-459698	01/18/07
31	LES JANKEY et al vs. GLASS PROPERTIES LP et al	CGC-07-462659	04/24/07
32	LES JANKEY et al vs. SONG KOO LEE et al	CGC-07-463040	05/03/07
33	NICOLE MOSS et al vs. MOLTE COSE et al	CGC-07-464669	06/27/07
34	CRAIG YATES et al vs. K & L RESTAURANT et al	CGC-07-469073	11/09/07

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT (CONTINUED)</b>		
35	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. GRAFFEO COFFEE ROASTING Co. et al	CGC-07-469631	11/30/07
36	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. XOX TRUFFLES et al	CGC-07-470559	12/31/07
37	CRAIG YATES et al vs. CANDYSTORE COLLECTIVES et al	CGC-08-470951	01/10/08
38	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. PETITE DELI et al	CGC-08-470953	01/10/08
39	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. SUSHI ON NORTH BEACH-KATSU et al	CGC-08-472709	02/28/08
40	CRAIG YATES et al vs. DA FLORA RESTAURANT et al	CGC-08-473260	03/13/08
41	CRAIG YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al	CGC-08-473716	03/27/08
42	LES JANKEY et al vs. PLOUGH AND THE STARS et al	CGC-08-474085	04/29/08
43	LES JANKEY et al vs. HAWTHORNE/STONE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS et al	CGC-08-474557	04/23/08
	<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</b>		
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
44	MARSHALL LOSKOT et al vs. AIRPORT NORTH TRAVELODGE	CIV456401	07/24/06
	<b>MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT</b>		
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
45	CRAIG YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al	CIV053454	07/28/05
46	CRAIG YATES et al vs. CAPRA'S RESTAURANT et al	CIV061583	04/07/06
47	CRAIG YATES et al vs. VIKING BAR CORP. et al	CIV062007	05/12/06
48	CRAIG YATES et al vs. VIKING BAR CORP. et al	CIV062223	05/22/06
49	CRAIG YATES et al vs. PETER PAN DOUGHNUTS	CIV064664	10/19/06
50	CRAIG YATES et al vs. MING YEN RESTAURANT et al	CIV071909	04/24/07
51	CRAIG YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al	CIV053454	07/28/05
52	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. TACO JANE'S et al	CIV061134	03/17/06
53	CRAIG YATES et al vs. CAPRA'S RESTAURANT et al	CIV061583	04/07/06
54	CRAIG YATES et al vs. VIKING BAR CORP. et al	CIV062007	05/12/06
55	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. PEPPER'S RESTAURANT et al	CIV062191	05/18/06
56	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. CHAI W. CHAN et al	CIV062650	06/16/06
57	CRAIG YATES et al vs. PETER PAN DOUGHNUTS	CIV064664	10/19/06
58	CRAIG YATES et al vs. MING YEN RESTAURANT et al	CIV071909	04/24/07
59	PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. SHAPIRO ASSOCIATES	CIV072045	05/01/07

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</b>		
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
60	YATES et al vs. ASSOCIATED MAIN STREET PARTNERS et al	3:2004cv04308-BZ	10/12/04
61	YATES et al vs. NICOLAI BUILDING et al	3:2004cv05087-JCS	12/01/04
62	YATES et al vs. HELLER'S FOR CHILDREN et al	3:2004cv05211-PJH	12/09/04
63	YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al	3:2005cv03610-JL	09/07/05
64	YATES et al vs. NAPA VALLEY CASINO et al	3:2006cv07917-MMC	12/27/06
65	YATES et al vs. PETCO ANIMAL SUPPLIES STORES et al	3:2007cv00460-WHA	01/23/07
66	YATES et al vs. CELIA'S RESTAURANTS et al	3:2007cv00946-CRB	02/14/07
67	YATES et al vs. NEW TIN'S MARKET et al	3:2007cv01403-MHP	03/09/07
68	YATES et al vs. BELLI DELI et al	3:2007cv01405-WHA	03/09/07
69	YATES et al vs. THE CHEESE STEAK SHOP et al	3:2007cv01566-PJH	03/19/07
70	YATES et al vs. FOSTER FREEZE BERKELEY et al	3:2007cv02100-JSW	04/13/07
71	YATES et al vs. D & A CAFÉ et al	3:2007cv02525-MMC	02/11/07
72	YATES et al vs. WINTER PROPERTIES et al	3:2007cv02657-JL	05/18/07
73	YATES et al vs. EL SOMBRERO et al	3:2007cv03033-EDL	06/12/07
74	YATES et al vs. BIMBO BAKERIES USA et al	3:2007cv03326-MMC	06/25/07
75	YATES et al vs. LOTUS CUISINE OF INDIA et al	4:2007cv03889-WDB	07/30/07
76	YATES et al vs. DISCOUNT ALLEY et al	3:2007cv04177-EDL	08/14/07
77	YATES et al vs. RED'S RECOVERY ROOM et al	3:2007cv04395-JCS	08/24/07
78	YATES et al vs. N-O-H-R PLAZA et al	3:2007cv05485-MMC	10/26/07
79	YATES et al vs. LEVIN COMMERCIAL FACILITY et al	3:2007cv06498-WHA	12/28/07
80	YATES et al vs. UNICORN PAN ASIAN CUISINE et al	3:2008cv00356-JSW	01/18/08
81	YATES et al vs. BURGER KING # 3157 et al	3:2008cv00737-JCS	01/31/08
82	YATES et al vs. KING OF THAI NOODLE # 2 et al	3:2008cv01877-WHA	04/08/08
83	YATES et al vs. SWEET DELIGHT et al	3:2008cv01958-JCS	04/14/08
84	YATES et al vs. TWICE IS NICE et al	3:2008cv02165-SI	04/25/08
85	YATES et al vs. ZEPHYR CAFÉ et al	3:2008cv02293-PJH	05/02/08
86	YATES et al vs. SUSHI BISTRO et al	4:2008cv02545-CW	05/20/08
87	YATES et al vs. SYERS PROPERTIES et al	3:2008cv02734-SI	05/30/08
88	YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al	3:2008cv02932-JL	06/12/08
89	YATES et al vs. FRANKLIN ARDEN LLC	3:2008cv03004-EDL	06/18/08
90	YATES et al vs. HAPPY DRAGON et al	3:2008cv03183-BZ	07/02/08
91	YATES et al vs. TEQUILA GRILL et al	4:2008cv03914-CW	08/15/08
92	CONE et al vs. SEQUOIA GROVE	3:2000cv04298-WHA	11/17/00
93	CONE et al vs. SONOMA VALLEY VISIT	3:2000cv04352-TEH	11/20/00
94	RAY et al vs. COMFORT INN et al	3:2000cv04353-PJH	11/20/00
95	JANKEY et al vs. HOTEL DEL SOL et al	3:2001cv00489-SI	01/30/01
96	CONE et al vs. NAPA VALLEY MARRIOTT et al	4:2001cv00488-CW	01/30/01
97	PICKERN et al vs. CITY OF EUREKA et al	4:2001cv00320-WDB	01/19/01
98	PICKERN et al vs. WILLOW CREEK 76	3:2001cv00673-MMC	02/13/01
99	PICKERN et al vs. CHEVRON WILLOW CREEK et al	3:2001cv00675-MJJ	02/13/01
100	RAY et al vs. PACIFIC MOTOR INN et al	3:2001cv00680-PJH	02/13/01
101	DORAN et al vs. BALBOA CAFÉ et al	4:2001cv00828-CW	02/26/01
102	DORAN et al vs. RENDEZVOUS CAFÉ et al	3:2001cv00829-SI	02/26/01
103	D'LIL et al vs. BIJOU ASSOCIATES et al	3:2001cv01667-JL	04/30/01
104	DORAN et al vs. WILSON & KRATZER MORTUARIES	3:2001cv01739-JCS	05/03/01

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
105	PICKERN et al vs. NAPA AUTO PARTS EUREKA STORE et al	3:2001cv02111-JL	05/31/01
106	PICKERN et al vs. FRESH FREEZE SUPPLY	3:2001cv02112-SI	05/31/01
107	CONNALLY et al vs. BAHIA BUSINESS CENTER et al	3:2001cv01741-CRB	05/03/01
108	KONE et al vs. ZANDONELLA REPORTING SERVICE et al	3:2001cv01808-MMC	05/09/01
109	SAMUEL et al vs. E Z 8 MOTELS et al	5:2001cv20401-RS	05/10/01
110	GERBER et al vs. LA SCALA INN et al	3:2001cv02168-PJH	06/04/01
111	PICKERN et al vs. BEST WESTERN INN AT THE SQUARE et al	4:2001cv02202-CW	06/06/01
112	LOSKOT et al vs. SEA CAPTAIN MOTEL et al	3:2001cv02237-VRW	06/07/01
113	TAUBOLD et al vs. CITY OF FORT BRAGG	3:2001cv02777-CRB	07/20/01
114	CONNALLY et al vs. FAST EDDIE'S STYLE BAR-B-QUE et al	3:2001cv03145-PJH	08/15/01
115	DORAN et al vs. REDWOOD INN et al	3:2001cv03268-PJH	08/27/01
116	MOELLER et al vs. BENIHANA RESTAURANT BURLINGAME et al	3:2001cv03348-JCS	09/04/01
117	DORAN et al vs. QUALITY INN EUREKA et al	4:2001cv03412-SBA	09/07/01
118	LOSKOT et al vs. BROADWAY INN MANOR et al	3:2001cv03866-VRW	10/12/01
119	DORAN et al vs. CAPRI MOTEL et al	3:2001cv04016-VRW	10/25/01
120	DORAN et al vs. PREMIER INNS CONCORD et al	3:2001cv05227-JL	12/26/01
121	DORAN et al vs. NANTUCKET RESTAURANT et al	3:2001cv05228-MMC	12/26/01
122	DORAN et al vs. CHINA KING RESTAURNAT et al	3:2001cv05364-JL	12/27/01
123	DORAN et al vs. MILL VALLEY TRAVELODGE et al	4:2002cv00229-WDB	01/14/02
124	LOSKOT et al vs. ZACK'S FAMILY RESTAURANT AND CATERING et al	4:2002cv01554-CW	04/01/02
125	DORAN et al vs. VOGUE CLEANERS et al	3:2002cv01881-PJH	04/18/02
126	DORAN et al vs. EMBASSY SUITES HOTEL et al	3:2002cv01961-EDL	04/22/02
127	DORAN et al vs. PACIFIC HEIGHTS INN et al	3:2002cv02666-CRB	06/04/02
128	CONNALLY et al vs. WEST END SHOPPING CENTER et al	3:2002cv03341-CRB	07/11/02
129	DORAN et al vs. FRANCISCO BAY INN et al	3:2002cv03260-PJH	07/09/02
130	DORAN et al vs. BEL AIRE PROPERTIES et al	3:2002cv03261-JCS	07/09/02
131	ASHLEY et al vs. PRESIDIO INN et al	3:2002cv04138-CRB	08/28/02
132	CONE et al vs. SONOMA CHEESE FACTORY et al	3:2002cv04536-EDL	09/18/02
133	LOSKOT et al vs. POLK STREET ECONO LODGE et al	4:2002cv04537-CW	09/18/02
134	DORAN et al vs. CONCORD BEST WESTERN HERITAGE INN et al	3:2002cv04543-EDL	09/18/02
135	JANKEY et al vs. CASTLE INN et al	3:2002cv04545-MJJ	09/18/02
136	CONE et al vs. LOS ALTOS RESTAURANT et al	5:2002cv05269-JF	11/01/02
137	CONE et al vs. BROILER EXPRESS et al	3:2002cv05448-VRW	11/18/02
138	LOSKOT et al vs. PIZZERIA UNO LOMBARD	3:2002cv05463-JL	11/18/02
139	WELLS et al vs. WILDHORSE CAFÉ et al	5:2002cv05503-JF	11/19/02
140	WELLS et al vs. BEACON KING CITY TRUCK PLAZA et al	5:2002cv05507-PVT	11/19/02
141	CONNALLY et al vs. SEBT MALL et al	5:2002cv05947-SI	12/26/02
142	MOLSKI et al vs. CAMELOT RESTAURANT et al	5:2003cv00018-HRL	01/02/03

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
143	DORAN et al vs. NEWELL AVENUE STRIP MALL et al	3:2003cv00192-WHA	01/13/03
144	DORAN et al vs. PANE E. VINO RESTAURANT et al	3:2003cv00348-MEJ	01/27/03
145	BROOKE et al vs. BELL MARKET NOVATO et al	3:2003cv00675-MJJ	02/18/03
146	CONNALLY et al vs. HUNAN RESTAURANT et al	3:2003cv01370-JL	03/31/03
147	DORAN et al vs. MONTECATINI RISTORANTE et al	3:2003cv01134-JSW	03/17/03
148	CONNALLY et al vs. KINKO'S et al	4:2003cv01181-CW	03/19/03
149	DORAN et al vs. C.C. OLE'S MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al	3:2003cv01190-CRB	03/19/03
150	CONNALLY et al vs. COURT SQUARE CENTER et al	3:2003cv01387-JL	04/01/03
151	DORAN et al vs. RICHARDSON ECONOMY INN et al	3:2003cv01491-BZ	04/08/03
152	WILSON et al vs. LONGS DRUG STORE et al	4:2003cv01762-WDB	04/21/03
153	DORAN et al vs. FRANKLIN BUILDING et al	3:2003cv01796-WHA	04/22/03
154	WILSON et al vs. TRANCAS MALL et al	3:2003cv01913-VRW	04/28/03
155	DORAN et al vs. ANTIOCH HERITAGE INN et al	3:2003cv02427-VRW	05/22/03
156	DORAN et al vs. CASPER'S HOT DOGS et al	3:2003cv02428-MMC	05/22/03
157	DORAN et al vs. HOLIDAY INN EXPRESS BRENTWOOD et al	3:2003cv02639-MMC	06/05/03
158	DORAN et al vs. DAYS INN GEARY STREET et al	3:2003cv02641-PJH	06/05/03
159	LOSKOT et al vs. BEST INN EL CERRITO et al	3:2003cv02697-MJJ	06/10/03
160	HARRIS et al vs. CRESCENT CITY DAYS INN et al	3:2003cv02837-MEJ	06/17/03
161	LOSKOT et al vs. TAQUERIA MEXICAN GRILL et al	3:2003cv02895-VRW	06/23/03
162	HARRIS et al vs. CURLY REDWOOD LODGE	3:2003cv02902-MJJ	06/23/03
163	HARRIS et al vs. DENNY'S CRESCENT CITY et al	3:2003cv02904-MHP	06/23/03
164	HARRIS et al vs. GHIRADELLI SQUARE TRAVELODGE et al	3:2003cv03530-SI	07/29/03
165	DORAN et al vs. HUNTINGTON HOTEL et al	3:2003cv04241-MEJ	09/17/03
166	CONNALLY et al vs. MAGNOLIA CENTER et al	3:2003cv04329-JCS	09/24/03
167	CONNALLY et al vs. JIM CORBET'S ACE HARDWARE et al	3:2003cv04688-JCS	10/17/03
168	DORAN et al vs. SEAL ROCK INN et al	3:2003cv04702-JSW	10/20/03
169	LOSKOT et al vs. RAMADA INN OAKLAND et al	4:2003cv04902-SBA	11/03/03
170	CONNALLY et al vs. WEST AMERICA BANK et al	3:2003cv04990-JCS	11/10/03
171	CONNALLY et al vs. Mc LERAN PROPERTIES et al	3:2003cv05049-JCS	11/13/03
172	STICKNEY et al vs. GIANT CHEF BURGER et al	3:2003cv05243-MEJ	11/24/03
173	MOSS et al vs. HEARST PARKING CENTER et al	3:2003cv05485-SI	12/04/03
174	CONNALLY et al vs. SEBT MALL et al	3:2003cv05571-SI	12/11/03
175	DORAN et al vs. JACKSON'S WINE AND SPIRITS et al	3:2004cv00826-MJJ	02/27/04
176	WILSON et al vs. RING'S RESTAURANT et al	3:2004cv01128-BZ	03/22/04
177	LOSKOT et al vs. BEST WESTERN CARRIAGE INN et al	3:2004cv01129-CRB	03/22/04
178	CONNALLY et al vs. PACIFIC LUMBER AND HARDWARE et al	3:2004cv01217-PJH	03/26/04
179	CONNALLY et al vs. THREE DRAGONS RESTAURANT et al	3:2004cv01241-TEH	03/29/04
180	CONNALLY et al vs. ENTENMANN'S Inc et al	3:2004cv01313-CRB	04/05/04



Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
181	CONNALLY et al vs. WOODGER TRUST BUILDING et al	3:2004cv01314-JL	04/05/04
182	LOSKOT et al vs. HOLIDAY INN CONCORD et al	3:2004cv01356-JL	04/07/04
183	CONNALLY et al vs. ENTENMANN'S Inc et al	4:2004cv01443-CRB	04/13/04
184	CONNALLY et al vs. CELIA'S MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al	3:2004cv01488-VRW	04/15/04
185	DORAN et al vs. CORTE MADERA INN BEST WESTERN et al	3:2003cv01192-SI	04/22/04
186	CONE et al vs. HEALDSBURG TRAVELODGE et al	3:2004cv01606-VRW	04/26/04
187	LOSKOT et al vs. PETE'S HENNY PENNY et al	3:2004cv01607-WHA	04/26/04
188	CONE et al vs. DRY CREEK INN	3:2004cv01725-JSW	05/03/04
189	MOLSKI et al vs. LUPITA et al	5:2004cv01852-PVT	05/11/04
190	MOLSKI et al vs. PUMP N GO et al	3:2004cv01854-BZ	05/11/04
191	MOLSKI et al vs. THE COVE et al	5:2004cv01880-RMW	05/12/04
192	MOLSKI et al vs. RAPAZZINI WINERY et al	5:5004cv01881-PVT	05/12/04
193	MOLSKI et al vs. EL 7 MARES RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv01882-PVT	05/12/04
194	MOLSKI et al vs. LONGHOUSE RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv01942-RS	05/18/04
195	MOLSKI et al vs. ELAINE M. WEST et al	5:2004cv01943-RMW	05/18/04
196	MOLSKI et al vs. TORO PETROLEUM et al	5:2004cv01941-JF	05/18/04
197	MOLSKI et al vs. MORGAN HILL 76 et al	5:2004cv01945-JW	05/18/04
198	MOLSKI et al vs. CASA MEDINA et al	5:2004cv01947-RMW	05/18/04
199	MOLSKI et al vs. CASA DE FRUTA et al	5:2004cv01981-PVT	05/20/04
200	MOLSKI et al vs. ROY'S DRIVE-IN et al	5:2004cv01983-RMW	05/20/04
201	MOLSKI et al vs. ALBERTSON'S	5:2004cv01984-JW	05/20/04
202	MOLSKI et al vs. LA ROCHELLE et al	5:2004cv01985-HRL	05/20/04
203	MOLSKI et al vs. GEORIS WINERY et al	5:2004cv02118-HRL	05/28/04
204	MOLSKI et al vs. HELLER ESTATES et al	5:2004cv02164-PVT	06/02/04
205	MOLSKI et al vs. BERNARDUS et al	5:2004cv02165-HRL	06/02/04
206	DORAN et al vs. STAR MOTEL et al	3:2001cv02203-EDL	06/06/01
207	MOLSKI et al vs. GILROY BOWL et al	5:2004cv02222-JW	06/07/04
208	MOLSKI et al vs. RUNNING IRON BAR & RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv02223-RMW	06/07/04
209	MOLSKI et al vs. TARPY'S ROADHOUSE RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv02224-RS	06/07/04
210	MOLSKI et al vs. COPPER-GARROD ESTATE VINEYARDS et al	5:2004cv02226-PVT	06/07/04
211	PICKERN et al vs. VILLA INN et al	3:2001cv02235-JL	06/07/01
212	MOLSKI et al vs. SYCAMORE CREEK VINEYARDS	5:2004cv02254-JW	06/08/04
213	PICKERN et al vs. SURF MOTEL et al	4:2001cv02255-CW	06/08/01
214	MOLSKI et al vs. TINY'S RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv02416-RMW	06/18/04
215	MOLSKI et al vs. ROUND TABLE PIZZA SANTA CRUZ et al	5:2004cv02438-JF	06/21/04
216	MOLSKI et al vs. SANTA CRUZ DINER et al	5:2004cv02439-JW	06/21/04
217	MOLSKI et al vs. THAI VILLAGE RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv02465-JW	06/21/04
218	MOLSKI et al vs. WHITE OAKS PLAZA	5:2004cv02466-RS	06/21/04
219	MOLSKI et al vs. SUNRISE CAFÉ et al	5:2004cv02471-JW	06/22/04

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
220	CONNALLY et al vs. AKIRA SUSHI et al	5:2004cv02612-RMW	06/29/04
221	LOSKOT et al vs. DOLLAR INN PETALUMA et al	3:2004cv02845-TEH	07/14/04
222	LOSKOT et al vs. HOLIDAY INN WALNUT CREEK et al	3:2004cv02848-VRW	07/14/04
223	LOSKOT et al vs. GOLDEN HORSE RESTAURANT et al	3:2004cv02917-CRB	07/19/04
224	CONNALLY et al vs. RED BOY PIZZA et al	3:2004cv02919-SI	07/19/04
225	CONNALLY et al vs. FRANK'S PIER 15 et al	3:2004cv02920-VRW	07/19/04
226	CONNALLY et al vs. PEKING PALACE et al	3:2004cv02921-MEJ	07/19/04
227	CONNALLY et al vs. THAI et al	3:2004cv03156-TEH	08/03/04
228	CONNALLY et al vs. CENTO STELLE et al	3:2004cv03170-JSW	08/03/04
229	CONNALLY et al vs. MARIN EXPRESS LUBE & DIAGNOSTIC CENTER AND PETER'S BEACON et al	3:2004cv03479-JCS	08/20/04
230	CONNALLY et al vs. HOUSE OF LEE CHINESE RESTAURANT et al	3:2004cv03651-JL	08/27/04
231	CONNALLY et al vs. EDUARDO'S RESTAURANT et al	3:2004cv03914-BZ	09/16/04
232	LOSKOT et al vs. DIABLO MAZDA SUBARU et al	4:2004cv03986-CW	09/21/04
233	CONNALLY et al vs. PACKAGING STORE et al	4:2004cv04075-SBA	09/27/04
234	YATES et al vs. ASSOCIATED MAIN STREET PARTNERS et al	3:2004cv04308-BZ	10/12/04
235	MOLSKI et al vs. CASANOVA RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv04325-HRL	10/14/04
236	LOSKOT et al vs. ROYAL THAI et al	3:2004cv04355-EDL	10/14/04
237	MOLSKI et al vs. JACK LONDON'S BAR & GRILL et al	5:2004cv04451-RMW	10/21/04
238	LOSKOT et al vs. SAN FRANCISCO CENTRAL TRAVELODGE et al	3:2004cv04485-VRW	10/22/04
239	MOLSKI et al vs. FRIAR TUCK'S RESTAURANT et al	5:2004cv04488-HRL	10/22/04
240	MOLSKI et al vs. CARMEL BAKERY	5:2004cv04590-PVT	10/29/04
241	MOLSKI et al vs. A.W. SHUCKS et al	5:2004cv04646-JF	11/02/04
242	LOSKOT et al vs. WASHINGTON SQUARE BAR & GRILL et al	3:2004cv04656-MJJ	11/03/04
243	MOLSKI et al vs. ROBATA GRILL & SAKE BAR et al	5:2004cv04679-HRL	11/03/04
244	MOLSKI et al vs. LUGANO SWISS BISTRO et al	5:2004cv04713-RS	11/08/04
245	CONNALLY et al vs. DRAGON CAFÉ et al	3:2004cv04739-BZ	11/09/04
246	MOSS et al vs. IZZY'S STEAK & CHOP HOUSE et al	3:2004cv04970-MMC	11/23/04
247	LOSKOT et al vs. HYDE OUT et al	3:2004cv04988-PJH	11/24/04
248	WILSON et al vs. MARIE CALLENDER'S	3:2004cv04989-PJH	11/24/04
249	CONNALLY et al vs. PELICAN INN ASSOCIATES	3:2004cv05058-JL	11/30/04
250	YATES et al vs. NICOLAI BUILDING et al	3:2004cv05087-JCS	12/01/04
251	CONNALLU et al vs. MARIN CLEANERS et al	3:2004cv05088-CRB	12/01/04
252	LOSKOT et al vs. TAQUERIA BAHIA: 1200 4TH STREET	3:2004cv05210-TEH	12/09/04
253	YATES et al vs. HELLER'S FOR CHILDREN et al	3:2004cv05211-PJH	12/09/04
254	ARCHULETA et al vs. JACK'S CLUB et al	3:2004cv05318-PJH	12/15/04
255	LOSKOT et al vs. DAYS INN SAN RAFAEL et al	4:2004cv05457-WDB	12/27/04
256	LOSKOT et al vs. LA BARCA et al	3:2005cv00454-JL	01/31/05
257	MOSS et al vs. ALL STAR DOUGHNUTS et al	4:2005cv01877-CW	05/06/05
258	YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al	3:2005cv03610-JL	09/07/05
259	JANKEY et al vs. TED'S SPORT BAR & GRILL et al	3:2006cv03289-JCS	05/18/06

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
260	JANKEY et al vs. HERITAGE MARINA HOTEL et al	3:2006cv03423-CRB	05/25/06
261	CONNALLY et al vs. FRANK'S FREEZE et al	3:2005cv02239-JCS	06/02/05
262	LOSKOT et al vs. INTERNATIONAL INN	3:2006cv05923-JL	09/25/06
263	PIAZZA et al vs. FLAMES COFFEE SHOP et al	3:2006cv05920-PJH	09/25/06
264	JANKEY et al vs. TIA MARGARITA et al	3:2006cv06156-PJH	10/02/06
265	CONNALLY et al vs. SOL FOOD et al	3:2006cv06577-WHA	10/20/06
266	LOSKOT et al vs. LA QUINTA INN OAKLAND AIRPORT et al	3:2006cv07525-MHP	12/07/06
267	YATES et al vs. NAPA VALLEY CASINO et al	3:2006cv07917-MMC	12/27/06
268	YATES et al vs. PETCO ANIMAL SUPPLIES STORES et al	3:2007cv00460-WHA	01/23/07
269	YATES et al vs. CELIA'S RESTAURANTS et al	3:2007cv00946-CRB	02/14/07
270	CONNALLY et al vs. ROXIE FOOD & COMMERCIAL CENTER et al	3:2007cv00947-TEH	02/14/07
271	CONNALLY et al vs. USA SMOG & GASOLINE et al	3:2007cv01250-WHA	03/02/07
272	YATES et al vs. NEW TIN'S MARKET et al	3:2007cv01403-MHP	03/09/07
273	YATES et al vs. BELLI DELI et al	3:2007cv01405-WHA	03/09/07
274	LOSKOT et al vs. UNIVERSITY INN et al	4:2007cv01537-CW	03/16/07
275	YATES et al vs. THE CHEESE STEAK SHOP et al	3:2007cv01566-PJH	03/19/07
276	YATES et al vs. FOSTER FREEZE BERKELEY et al	3:2007cv02100-JSW	04/13/07
277	CONNALLY et al vs. PHYLLIS'S GIANT BURGER et al	3:2007cv02410-SI	05/03/07
278	YATES et al vs. D & A CAFÉ et al	3:2007cv02525-MMC	05/11/07
279	HEATHERLY et al vs. TALMADGE WOOD et al	3:2007cv02656-JL	05/18/07
280	YATES et al vs. WINTER PROPERTIES et al	3:2007cv02657-JL	05/18/07
281	YATES et al vs. EL SOMBRERO et al	3:2007cv03033-EDL	06/12/07
282	YATES et al vs. BIMBO BAKERIES USA et al	3:2007cv03326-MMC	06/25/07
283	YATES et al vs. LOTUS CUISINE OF INDIA et al	4:2007cv03889-WDB	07/30/07
284	RAMIREZ et al vs. FIRCREST MARKET et al	3:2007cv03890-MEJ	07/31/07
285	YATES et al vs. DISCOUNT ALLEY et al	3:2007cv04177-EDL	08/14/07
286	YATES et al vs. RED'S RECOVERY ROOM et al	3:2007cv04395-JCS	08/24/07
287	RAMIREZ et al vs. UNION HOTEL et al	3:2007cv04396-MEJ	08/24/07
288	RAMIREZ et al vs. OMELETTE EXPRESS et al	3:2007cv04463-JCS	08/28/07
289	MOSS et al vs. MOLTE COSE et al	3:2007cv04813-JSW	09/19/07
290	YATES et al vs. N-O-H-R PLAZA et al	3:2007cv05485-MMC	10/26/07
291	YATES et al vs. LEVIN COMMERCIAL FACILITY et al	3:2007cv06498-WHA	12/28/07
292	YATES et al vs. UNICORN PAN ASIAN CUISINE et al	3:2008cv00356-JSW	01/18/08
293	YATES et al vs. BURGER KING # 3157 et al	3:2008cv00737-JCS	01/31/08
294	RAMIREZ et al vs. MARTHA'S OLD MEXICO et al	3:2008cv01403-MEJ	03/12/08
295	JANKEY et al vs. KING OF THAI NOODLE HOUSE et al	3:2008cv01876-CRB	04/08/08
296	YATES et al vs. KING OF THAI NOODLE # 2 et al	3:2008cv01877-WHA	04/08/08
297	YATES et al vs. SWEET DELIGHT et al	3:2008cv01958-JCS	04/14/08
298	JANKEY et al vs. GEARY STREET BELLA PIZZA et al	3:2008cv02164-JL	04/25/08
299	YATES et al vs. TWICE IS NICE et al	3:2008cv02165-SI	04/25/08
300	YATES et al vs. ZEPHYR CAFÉ et al	3:2008cv02293-PJH	05/02/08
301	YATES et al vs. SUSHI BISTRO et al	4:2008cv02545-CW	05/20/08
302	JANKEY et al vs. FIVE HAPPINESS RESTAURANT et al	3:2008cv02733-MMC	05/30/08



Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
303	YATES et al vs. SYERS PROPERTIES et al	3:2008cv02734-SI	05/30/08
304	YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al	3:2008cv02932-JL	06/12/08
305	JANKEY et al vs. RED JACK SALOON et al	3:2008cv02978-JCS	06/16/08
306	YATES et al vs. FRANKLIN ARDEN LLC	3:2008cv03004-EDL	06/18/08
307	YATES et al vs. HAPPY DRAGON et al	3:2008cv03183-BZ	07/02/08
308	YATES et al vs. TEQUILA GRILL et al	4:2008cv03914-CW	08/15/08
	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA</b>		
	<u>Name</u>	<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
309	PELLECER et al vs. LAZARO WISNIA	2:2000cv11564-RJK	10/30/00
310	CRAIG et al vs. GUESTHOUSE HOTEL et al	2:2001cv04019-SVW	05/02/01
311	ELLIS et al vs. LOS ROBLES REGIONAL et al	2:2001cv08178-RJK	09/20/01
312	BAILEY HARMON et al vs. CAPTAIN JACK'S et al	8:2002cv00171-DOC	02/20/02
313	ELLIS et al vs. ARBY'S THOUSAND OAKS et al	2:2002cv04211-CAS	05/28/02
314	ELLIS et al vs. JACK'S DELI	2:2002cv08837-DDP	11/18/02
315	ELLIS et al vs. HERITAGE PLAZA et al	2:2002cv08838-PA	11/18/02
316	JANKEY et al vs. BARONES et al	2:2002cv06751-GAF	08/28/02
317	ELLIS et al vs. MANNY'S ORIGINAL et al	2:2003cv00029-SVW	01/02/03
318	MOLSKI et al vs. SIERRA RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv01400-RGK	02/27/03
319	MOLSKI et al vs. YANG CHOW RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv01433-NM	02/28/03
320	MOLSKI et al vs. MISSION BURRITO et al	2:2003cv01801-SVW	03/13/03
321	MOLSKI et al vs. ROSCOE CENTER et al	2:2003cv01841-R	03/14/03
322	ELLIS et al vs. LE RENDEZ-VOUS et al	2:2003cv02069-DSF	03/24/03
323	JANKEY et al vs. YANG CHOW RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv02239-ER	03/31/03
324	MOLSKI et al vs. EDOKKO JAPANESE et al	2:2003cv02240-JSL	03/31/03
325	ELLIS et al vs. HAMSHIRE RETAIL et al	2:2003cv02316-MMM	04/02/03
326	JANKEY et al vs. SIZZLER'S HOLLYWOOD et al	2:2003cv02445-LGB	04/07/03
327	JANKEY et al vs. OAKS CENTER PROP	2:2003cv02561-SVW	04/10/03
328	MOLSKI et al vs. CANOGA PARK BOWL et al	2:2003cv02656-GAF	04/15/03
329	JANKEY et al vs. DENNY'S SEPULVEDA et al	2:2003cv02793-SJO	04/21/03
330	MOLSKI et al vs. ROSCOE CENTER et al	8:2003cv00692-CJC	05/12/03
331	MOLSKI et al vs. SIERRA RESTAURANT et al	8:2003cv00478-JVS	05/05/03
332	ELLIS et al vs. HERITAGE PLAZA et al	8:2003cv00673-CJC	05/12/03
333	MOLSKI et al vs. N & N CHINESE RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv03442-MMM	05/15/03
334	MOLSKI et al vs. MISSION BURRITO et al	8:2003cv00754-JVS	05/16/03
335	JANKEY et al vs. BARONES et al	8:2003cv00868-CJC	05/28/03
336	MOLSKI et al vs. JEWEL CITY BOWL et al	5:2003cv00620-VAP	06/02/03
337	MOLSKI et al vs. CHRISS & PITTS BBQ et al	2:2003cv04467-DDP	06/23/03
338	MOLSKI et al vs. CHRISS & PITTS BBQ VN et al	2:2003cv04469-DDP	06/23/03
339	MOLSKI et al vs. VITTORIOS ITALIAN et al	2:2003cv04808-CBM	07/07/03
340	MOLSKI et al vs. CABLE'S RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv04809-GW	07/07/03
341	MOSS et al vs. HOLLYWOOD DAYS INN et al	2:2003cv04957-MMM	07/11/03
342	MOLSKI et al vs. BEAR PIT RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv05070-RSWL	07/16/03
343	MOLSKI et al vs. VALENCIA LANES et al	2:2003cv05455-R	07/30/03
344	MOLSKI et al vs. HARLEY'S SIMI BOWL et al	2:2003cv05456-ABC	07/30/03
345	MOLSKI et al vs. JAVA LANES et al	2:2003cv05457-MMM	07/30/03

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
346	MOLSKI et al vs. ALHAMBRA BOWLING Ctr et al	2:2003cv05458-GAF	07/30/03
347	MOLSKI et al vs. EMPIRE BOWL et al	2:2003cv05460-GAF	07/30/03
348	MOLSKI et al vs. BRUNSWICK COVINA et al	2:2003cv05461-GAF	07/30/03
349	MOLSKI et al vs. PREMIER LANES et al	2:2003cv05462-RSWL	07/30/03
350	MOLSKI et al vs. DEL RIO LANES et al	2:2003cv05463-CAS	07/30/03
351	MOLSKI et al vs. AMF BOWLING CENTERS et al	2:2003cv05464-PA	07/30/03
352	MOLSKI et al vs. TAVA LANES BOWL et al	2:2003cv05504-JWJ	07/31/03
353	MOLSKI et al vs. SUMMERWOOD WINERY et al	2:2003cv05875-AHM	08/18/03
354	MOLSKI et al vs. CASTORO CELLARS et al	2:2003cv05879-NM	08/18/03
355	MOLSKI et al vs. EOS ESTATE WINERY et al	2:2003cv05880-GAF	08/18/03
356	MOLSKI et al vs. MERIDIAN VINEYARDS et al	2:2003cv06056-TJH	08/25/03
357	MOLSKI et al vs. CHUMELA VINEYARDS et al	2:2003CV06062-CBM	08/25/03
358	MOLSKI et al vs. DiCARLO VINEYARD et al	2:2003cv06091-DT	08/26/03
359	MOLSKI et al vs. LINNE CALODO CELLARS et al	2:2003cv06233-FMC	08/29/03
360	MOLSKI et al vs. CHATEAU MARGENE et al	2:2003cv06261-R	09/02/03
361	MOLSKI et al vs. HUNT CELLARS et al	2:2003cv06262-RGK	09/02/03
362	MOLSKI et al vs. PENMAN SPRING VINE et al	2:2003cv06263-SVW	09/02/03
363	MOLSKI et al vs. BELLA LUNA WINERY et al	2:2003cv06264-GHK	09/02/03
364	MOLSKI et al vs. PEACHY CANYON CELLARS et al	2:2003cv06266-TJH	09/02/03
365	MOLSKI et al vs. EBERLE WINERY et al	2:2003cv06361-TJH	09/05/03
366	MOLSKI et al vs. MASTANTUONO INC. et al	2:2003cv06536-SVW	09/11/03
367	MOLSKI et al vs. WILD HORSE WINERY et al	2:2003cv06593-SVW	09/12/03
368	MOLSKI et al vs. HARMONY CELLARS et al	2:2003cv06595-RJK	09/12/03
369	MOLSKI et al vs. JANKRIS VINEYARDS et al	2:2003cv06623-CAS	09/15/03
370	MOLSKI et al vs. TGIF's TORRANCE RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv06699-CAS	09/17/03
371	MOLSKI et al vs. GREY WOLF WINEYARDS et al	2:2003cv06765-GAF	09/19/03
372	MOLSKI et al vs. DARK STAR CELLARS et al	2:2003cv06766-DT	09/19/03
373	MOLSKI et al vs. TURLEY WINE CELLARS et al	2:2003cv06821-RSWL	09/22/03
374	MOLSKI et al vs. ARBY'S VENTURA et al	2:2003cv06891-FMC	09/24/03
375	MOLSKI et al vs. PRETTY-SMITH ENT et al	2:2003cv06935-DDP	09/25/03
376	MOLSKI et al vs. HARRY'S FAMILY RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv07502-WJR	10/20/03
377	MOLSKI et al vs. ACAPULCO RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv07505-RSWL	10/20/03
378	MOLSKI et al vs. COCO'S et al	2:2003cv08061-GPS	11/06/03
379	MOLSKI et al vs. SADDLEBACK LANES et al	8:2003cv01598-JVS	11/07/03
380	JANKEY et al vs. A-LA DRIVERS ED CTR et al	2:2003cv08186-GHK	11/07/03
381	MOLSKI et al vs. SIZZLER NORTHRIDGE et al	2:2003cv08189-CAS	11/10/03
382	MOLSKI et al vs. LA HABRA 300 BOWL et al	2:2003cv08324-LGB	11/14/03
383	MOLSKI et al vs. CHINA EXPRESS et al	2:2003cv08413-TJH	11/19/03
384	MOLSKI et al vs. EL CHAPARRAL et al	2:2003cv08536-SJO	11/24/03
385	MOLSKI et al vs. EL QUESO GRANDE et al	2:2003cv08537-RSWL	11/24/03
386	JANKEY et al vs. CLARE FOUNDATION INC. et al	2:2003cv08583-SJO	11/25/03
387	MOLSKI et al v PRESIDENTE MEXICAN et al	2:2003cv08839-WMB	12/04/03
388	MOLSKI et al v JUSTIN VINEYARDS et al	2:2003cv08887-GHK	12/05/03
389	MOLSKI et al v YORK MOUNTAIN WINERY et al	2:2003cv08889-DT	12/05/03
390	JANKEY et al v. SAFETY EDUCATION CTR et al	2:2003cv09034-DT	12/11/03
391	MOLSKI et al v FIRESTONE VINEYARD et al	2:2003cv09035-RGK	12/11/03

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
392	MOLSKI et al v DANIEL GEHRS HEATHER et al	2:2003cv09133-LGB	12/15/03
393	MOLSKI et al v LA FIESTA MEXICAN et al	2:2003cv09134-GAF	12/15/03
394	MOLSKI et al v SYLVESTER WINERY L P et al	2:2003cv09339-RSWL	12/19/03
395	MOLSKI et al v TODAI RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv09346-RGK	12/19/03
396	MOLSKI et al v GAINEY VINEYARD et al	2:2003cv09349-JFW	12/19/03
397	MOLSKI et al v FOLEY ESTATES et al	2:2003cv09393-CVM	12/22/03
398	MOLSKI et al v CONRAD'S LA CANADA et al	2:2003cv09462-TJH	12/24/03
399	MOLSKI et al v LOS OLIVOS CAFÉ et al	2:2003cv09463-MMM	02/24/03
400	MOLSKI et al v BRANDER VINEYARDS et al	2:2003cv09494-AHM	12/26/03
401	MOLSKI et al v DONA MARIA MEXICAN et al	2:2003cv09495-LGB	12/26/03
402	MOLSKI et al v PRESIDENTE MEXICAN RESTAURANT NORTH RIDGE et al	2:2004cv00090-GAF	01/07/04
403	MOLSKI et al v CASA DEL REY SAN DIMAS et al	2:2004cv00205-FMC	01/13/04
404	MOLSKI et al v KAHN WINERY and AK CELLARS LLC et al	2:2004cv00347-ER	01/20/04
405	MOLSKI et al v MANDARIN TOUCH RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv00450-ER	01/23/04
406	MOLSKI et al v KALYRA WINERY LLC et al	2:2004cv00452-ER	01/23/04
407	MOLSKI et al v KENNETH WILKINSON et al	8:2004cv00083-CJC	01/26/04
408	MOLSKI et al v GARDENA BOWLING CENTER, INC. et al	8:2004cv00092-CJC	01/27/04
409	MOLSKI et al v CHO CHO SAN RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv00569-RGK	01/27/04
410	MOLSKI et al v. CASA DEL REY TEMPLE CITY et al	2:2004cv00570-R	01/27/04
411	MOLSKI et al v CROCODILE CAFÉ GLENDALE et al	2:2004cv00610-SJO	01/28/04
412	MOLSKI et al v. CONCOURSE ENTERTAINMENT CENTER et al	8:2004cv00096-CJC	01/28/04
413	MOLSKI et al v. AW FOODS MARKETING INC et al	2:2004cv00787-ABC	02/05/04
414	MOLSKI et al v. KOEHLER WINERY et al	2:2004cv00788-AHM	02/05/04
415	MOLSKI et al v. FESS PARKER WINERY et al	2:2004cv00838-R	02/06/04
416	MOLSKI et al v. CASA DEL REY ARCADIA et al	2:2004cv00960-SJO	02/12/04
417	MOLSKI et al v. HOT SPOT BAR AND GRILL et al	2:2004cv00974-DDP	02/12/04
418	MOLSKI et al v. LA FOND WINERY et al	2:2004cv01044-WMB	02/17/04
419	MOLSKI et al v. SOMETHING'S FISHY et al	2:2004cv01047-PA	02/17/04
420	MOLSKI et al v. HITCHING POST II RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv01071-PA	02/17/04
421	MOLSKI et al v. CONRAD'S RESTAURANT GLENDALE et al	2:2004cv01075-ABC	02/17/04
422	MOLSKI et al v. MOSBY WINERY et al	2:2004cv01076-NM	02/17/04
423	MOLSKI et al v. HITCHING POST I RESTAURANT INC et al	2:2004cv01077-SVW	02/17/04
424	MOLSKI et al v. LAMPLIGHTER NORTH HOLLYWOOD RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv01098-RGK	02/18/04
425	MOLSKI et al v. LAMPLIGHTER CHATSWORTH PSG INC et al	2:2004cv01101-GHK	02/18/04
426	MOLSKI et al v. SANFORD WINERY and VINEYARDS et al	2:2004cv01263-NM	02/25/04
427	MOLSKI et al v. LAMPLIGHTER SHERMAN OAKS RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv01268-TJH	02/25/04

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
428	MOLSKI et al v. RANCHO SISQUOC et al	2:2004cv01360-RSWL	02/27/04
429	MOLSKI et al v. MI CASITA SALVADORENA RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv01361-R	02/27/04
430	MOLSKI et al v. CAMBRIA WINERY et al	2:2004cv01362-GHK	02/27/04
431	MOLSKI et al v. CRAZY TOKYO SUSHI et al	2:2004cv01364-SJO	02/27/04
432	MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #7 INC et al	2:2004cv01366-NM	02/27/04
433	MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #4 RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv01394-R	03/01/04
434	MOLSKI et al v. ZACA MESA WINERY et al	2:2004cv01395-RSWL	03/01/04
435	MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #2 RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv01412-DDP	03/02/04
436	MOLSKI et al v. CASA DI PIZZA et al	2:2004cv01552-GPS	03/08/04
437	MOLSKI et al v. ENCINO INVESTORS BUILDING et al	2:2004cv01869-SVW	03/18/04
438	MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #5 et al	2:2004cv01875-GAF	03/18/04
439	MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #8 et al	2:2004cv01930-ABC	03/19/04
440	MOLSKI et al v. NEW LIGHT AND HEALTHY JAPANESE RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv01931-R	03/19/04
441	MOLSKI et al v. SEAFARE INN et al	5:2004cv00328-VAP	03/19/04
442	MOLSKI et al v. SUSHI MARINA et al	2:2004cv01958-R	03/22/04
443	MOLSKI et al v. VALLEY RANCH BBQ et al	2:2004cv02150-GAF	03/29/04
444	MOLSKI et al v. LA FIESTA GRANDE et al	2:2004cv02350-RSWL	04/02/04
445	MOLSKI et al v. CANDILEJAS RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv02351-JSL	04/02/04
446	MOLSKI et al v. DOMAIN ALFRED et al	2:2004cv02352-JSL	04/02/04
447	MOLSKI et al v. FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP et al	2:2004cv02488-RSWL	04/08/04
448	MOLSKI et al v. SPYGLASS INVESTMENT COMPANY et al	2:2004cv02610-JSL	04/14/04
449	MOLSKI et al v. SZECHUWAN GARDEN et al	2:2004cv02723-LGB	04/19/04
450	MOLSKI et al v. CLAIBORNE AND CHURCHILL et al	2:2004cv02889-DSF	04/26/04
451	MOLSKI et al v. KYNSI WINES INC	2:2004cv02890-CJC	04/26/04
452	MOLSKI et al v. LONGHOUSE RESTAURANT INC et al	2:2004cv03121-MMM	05/03/04
453	MOLSKI et al v. DI FRONZO PROPERTIES LLC	2:2004cv03122-RGK	05/03/04
454	MOLSKI et al v. HONG KONG INN INC et al	2:2004cv03249-DSF	05/07/04
455	MOLSKI et al v. SENOR SANCHOS-SPRING et al	2:2004cv03250-JFW	05/07/04
456	MOLSKI et al v. PERKOS PISMO BEACH et al	2:2004cv03332-PA	05/11/04
457	MOLSKI et al v. CRACKED CRAB RESTAURANTS et al	2:2004cv03544-RGK	05/19/04
458	MOLSKI et al v. HOOVERS ENTERPRISES INC et al	2:2004cv03598-DT	05/20/04
459	MOLSKI et al v. WILSONS LANES et al	2:2004cv03599-ABC	05/20/04
460	MOLSKI et al v. BILL AND CAROLS SEA SHANTY et al	2:2004cv03696-WJR	05/25/04
461	MOLSKI et al v. PACOS et al	2:2004cv03697-DSF	05/25/04
462	MOLSKI et al v. 21ST STREET DRIVE-IN et al	2:2004cv03743-PA	05/26/04
463	MOLSKI et al v. AHEDOS RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv03746-SVW	05/26/04
464	MOLSKI et al v. PIERSIDE INC et al	2:2004cv03747-MMM	05/26/04
465	MOLSKI et al v. FOSTER FREEZE PASO ROBLES et al	2:2004cv03780-DDP	05/27/04
466	MOLSKI et al v. GIRLS RESTAURANT INC et al	2:2004cv03791-CBM	05/27/04



Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
467	MOLSKI et al v. F. MCLINTOCKS SALOON AND DINING HOUSE et al	2:2004cv03828-AHM	05/28/04
468	MOLSKI et al v. AJ SPURS TEMPLETON et al	2:2004cv03829-GPS	05/28/04
469	MOLSKI et al v. AJ SPURS INC et al	2:2004cv03929-GAF	06/02/04
470	MOLSKI et al v. HARBOR HUT INC et al	2:2004cv04059-CBM	06/07/04
471	MOLSKI et al v. OUTRIGGER et al	2:2004cv04061-JSL	06/07/04
472	MOLSKI et al v. WHALES TAIL RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv04062-JFW	06/07/04
473	MOLSKI et al v. FOSTER FREEZE MORRO BAY et al	2:2004cv04240-RGK	06/14/04
474	MOLSKI et al v. FOSTER FREEZE SAN LUIS OBISPO et al	2:2004cv04241-ER	06/14/04
475	MOLSKI et al v. GREAT AMERICAN FISH CO INC. et al	2:2004cv04242-TJH	06/14/04
476	MOLSKI et al v. JBJ ROUND UP PIZZA et al	2:2004cv04243-FMC	06/14/04
477	MOLSKI et al v. CAMBRIA CAFÉ et al	2:2004cv04283-JFW	06/15/04
478	MOLSKI et al v. SEA CHEST et al	2:2004cv04284-PA	06/15/04
479	MOLSKI et al v. THE GALLEY et al	2:2004cv04428-AHM	06/18/04
480	MOLSKI et al v. Q BARGAIN et al	2:2004cv04429-JFW	06/18/04
481	MOLSKI et al v. M WILLIAMS STRIP MALL et al	2:2004cv04430-TJH	06/18/04
482	MOLSKI et al v. FISHBOWL RESTAURANT LLC	2:2004cv04497-TJH	06/22/04
483	MOLSKI et al v. PINE STREET PLAZA et al	2:2004cv04498-GAF	06/22/04
484	MOLSKI et al v. BUSIS RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv04610-NM	06/24/04
485	MOLSKI et al v. COFFEE POT RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv04611-JWJ	06/24/04
486	HARRIS et al v. MISTER T'S FAMILY RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv04650-DT	06/25/04
487	MOLSKI et al v. MARGIES DINER-MORRO BAY et al	2:2004cv04684-RNB	06/28/04
488	MOLSKI et al v. HUNGRY FISHERMAN RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv04685-SVW	06/28/04
489	MOLSKI et al v. CHEVRON-MORRO BAY et al	2:2004cv04696-MMM	06/28/04
490	MOLSKI et al v. CHINA BOWL RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv04697-DT	06/28/04
491	MOLSKI et al v. LA HACIENDA et al	2:2004cv04739-GHK	06/29/04
492	MOLSKI et al v. CARLAS COUNTRY KITCHEN et al	2:2004cv04822-NM	07/01/04
493	JANKEY et al v. BAKERS SQUARE ALHAMBRA et al	2:2004cv04826-SJO	07/01/04
494	MOLSKI et al v. BUSY BEE CAFÉ et al	2:2004cv04865-PA	07/02/04
495	MOLSKI et al v. THAI PALACE CORPORATION et al	2:2004cv04866-MMM	07/02/04
496	MOLSKI et al v. MCCARTHY'S IRISH PUB et al	2:2004cv04867-ABC	07/02/04
497	MOLSKI et al v. TIO ALBERTOS-LOS OSOS et al	2:2004cv04870-DT	07/02/04
498	MOLSKI et al v. PIZZA PORT et al	2:2004cv04873-MMM	07/02/04
499	MOLSKI et al v. TACO DE MEXICO et al	2:2004cv04874-JFW	07/02/04
500	MOLSKI et al v. MAYA RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv05453-JFW	07/14/04
501	CONNALLY et al v. HOUSE OF LEE CHINESE RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv06385-SVW	08/02/04
502	JANKEY et al v. MILLIES INC et al	2:2004cv06389-TJH	08/02/04
503	JANKEY et al v. FAUSTINO'S ITALIAN KITCHEN et al	2:2005cv05946-DDP	08/15/05
504	JANKEY et al v. COMMERCIAL MANAGEMENT CONCEPTS LLC et al	2:2005cv06730-SJO	09/13/05
505	MOLSKI et al v. BIG BOPPER DRIVE-IN et al	2:2004cv06885-TJH	08/17/04
506	MOLSKI et al v. BIT O DENMARK et al	2:2004cv07018-DT	08/20/04
507	MOLSKI et al v. LITTLE MERMAID et al	8:2004cv01011-JVS	08/20/04

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
508	MOLSKI et al v. NEW DANISH INN RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv07051-JSL	08/23/04
509	MOLSKI et al v. COPENHAGEN TS AND GIFTS et al	2:2004cv07188-SJO	08/27/04
510	MOLSKI et al v. SOLVANG RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv07189-DSF	08/27/04
511	MOLSKI et al v. FIRST STREET BUILDING et al	2:2004cv07191-WJR	08/27/04
512	MOLSKI et al v. RED VIKING RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv07192-DDP	08/27/04
513	JANKEY et al v. ORANGE DELIGHT et al	2:2004cv07270-SJO	08/31/04
514	MOLSKI et al v. DANISH MILL BAKERY et al	2:2004cv07271-MMM	08/31/04
515	DORAN et al v. SANTA NELLA HOTEL et al	2:2004cv07345-RGK	09/02/04
516	MOLSKI et al v. OLD DANISH FOOD FARM INC. et al	2:2004cv07346-CBM	09/02/04
517	MOLSKI et al v. BERENGARIA and GERDA'S IRON ART et al	2:2004cv07347-R	09/02/04
518	MOLSKI et al v. DOS AMIGOS et al	2:2004cv07417-GHK	09/07/04
519	MOLSKI et al v. MANNY'S MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv07538-DDP	09/10/04
520	MOLSKI et al v. THAI-RRIFIC RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv07539-PA	09/10/04
521	MOLSKI et al v. SOLVANG BAKERY INC. et al	2:2004cv07541-RSWL	09/10/04
522	MOLSKI et al v. PINE TREE INN et al	2:2004cv07725-SVW	09/16/04
523	MOLSKI et al v. CAMOZZIS et al	2:2004cv07765-DSF	09/17/04
524	MOLSKI et al v. HAMLET SQUARE et al	2:2004cv07797-CBM	09/20/04
525	MOLSKI et al v. CAMBRIA COURTYARD et al	2:2004cv07799-GAF	09/20/04
526	MOLSKI et al v. REDWOOD CENTER et al	2:2004cv07831-MMM	09/21/04
527	MOLSKI et al v. JENSENS COPENHAGEN SQUARE et al	2:2004cv07832-NM	09/21/04
528	MOLSKI et al v. BELGIAN CAFÉ et al	2:2004cv07862-TJH	09/22/04
529	JANKEY et al v. LOS BURRITOS INC et al	2:2004cv07864-CBM	09/22/04
530	JANKEY et al v. RANCH HOUSE BBQ and GRILL et al	2:2004cv07866-ABC	09/22/04
531	JANKEY et al v. MOTHER LODGE et al	2:2004cv07937-FMC	09/23/04
532	MOSS et al v. COMFORT INN WOODLAND HILLS et al	2:2004cv07939-FMC	09/23/04
533	JANKEY et al v. LANK and OXN CENTER et al	2:2004cv07985-RJK	09/24/04
534	MOLSKI et al v. SOLVANG THEATERFEST INC. et al	2:2004cv07987-CAS	09/24/04
535	MOSS et al v. EXOTIC NATURE et al	2:2004cv08135-TJH	09/29/04
536	MOSS et al v. NEW MOON et al	2:2004cv08140-WMB	09/29/04
537	MOSS et al v. VAGABOND INN SOLVANG et al	2:2004cv08255-TJH	10/04/04
538	MOLSKI et al v. ELLENS DANISH PANCAKE HOUSE et al	2:2004cv08523-MMM	10/14/04
539	MOLSKI et al v. TONOS MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv08524-GHK	10/14/04
540	MOLSKI et al v. MOTHER HUBBARDS RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv08616-AHM	10/18/04
541	JANKEY et al v. BELMONT RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv08617-MMM	10/18/04
542	JANKEY et al v. BEACH PIZZA et al	2:2004cv08744-SJO	10/21/04
543	MOLSKI et al v. GINOS PIZZA et al	2:2004cv08745-MMM	10/21/04
544	JANKEY et al v. EL TACO LOCO-NORTH HOLLYWOOD et al	2:2004cv08775-GHK	10/22/04
545	JANKEY et al v. HAMBURGER HAVEN et al	2:2004cv08778-JSL	10/22/04
546	JANKEY et al v. MISTER DS LIQUOR MARKET et al	2:2004cv09112-GHK	11/04/04

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
547	JANKEY et al v. EL CHOLO CAFÉ et al	8:2004cv01295-JVS	11/05/04
548	JANKEY et al v. MOON'S MARKET et al	2:2004cv09178-SGL	11/05/04
549	JANKEY et al v. MERMAID RESTAURANT et al	2:2004cv09267-ER	11/10/04
550	JANKEY et al v. BOTTLE INN et al	2:2004cv09312-GHK	11/12/04
551	JANKEY et al v. SLOOPYS et al	2:2004cv09609-GPS	11/23/04
552	JANKEY et al v. POOP DECK et al	2:2004cv09741-RSWL	11/30/04
553	JANKEY et al v. BEACH MARKET et al	2:2005cv01288-TJH	02/18/05
554	JANKEY et al v. ALOHA SHARKEEZ et al	2:2005cv03625-DT	05/16/05
555	JANKEY et al v. DANS LIQUOR et al	2:2005cv03626-AHM	05/16/05
556	JANKEY et al v. OBS BAR AND GRILL et al	2:2005cv03842-RGK	05/25/05
557	JANKEY et al v. BEACH HUT et al	2:2005cv03856-SVW	05/25/05
558	JANKEY et al v. PEDONES PIZZA et al	2:2005cv03858-SVW	05/25/05
559	MOLSKI et al v. ARBY'S HUNTINGTON BEACH et al	8:2004cv00038-CJC	08/12/05
560	MOLSKI et al v. PISMO BOWL et al	2:2004cv02647-R	11/15/07
	<b>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
561	ASHLEY et al v. BLACKWELL et al	2:1999cv01669-FCD	08/27/99
562	PICKERN et al v. EPPIE'S CAPITOL et al	2:2000cv02231-WBS	10/12/00
563	CONNALLY et al v. EPPIE'S N STREET et al	2:2000cv02232-WBS	10/12/00
564	LOSKOT et al v. CHICAGO TITLE et al	2:2000cv02342-LKK	10/23/00
565	DORAN et al v. CHICAGO TITLE RED et al	2:2000cv02343-WBS	10/23/00
566	LOSKOT et al v. CHICAGO TITLE INS et al	2:2000cv02355-DFL	10/24/00
567	DORAN et al v. PONDEROSA INN et al	2:2000cv02364-WBS	10/25/00
568	GERBER et al v. EPPIE'S MODESTO et al	2:2000cv02723-WBS	10/25/00
569	DORAN et al v. EPPIE'S RESTAURANT et al	2:2000cv02404-WBS	10/30/00
570	LOSKOT et al v. PINE STREET SCHOOL et al	2:2000cv02405-DFL	10/30/00
571	GERBER et al v. BURGER KING MADERA et al	1:2000cv06886-AWI	11/02/00
572	LOSKOT et al v. BEST WESTERN INN AND SUITES VALLEJO et al	2:2000cv02555-FCD	11/20/00
573	JANKEY et al v. TAHOE LIMITED CORP, et al	2:2000cv02556-MLS	11/20/00
574	RAY et al v. KML CORPORATION et al	2:2000cv02557-MLS	11/20/00
575	LOSKOT et al v. CRYSTAL MALL et al	2:2000cv02671-FCD	12/06/00
576	HAUGSTEN et al v. MERVYNS' STOCKTON, et al.	2:2001cv00206-DFL	01/31/01
577	DORAN et al v. BELL et al.	2:2001cv00287-GEB	02/13/01
578	DORAN et al v. CORINAS' RESTAURANT et al	2:2001cv00386-LKK	02/26/01
579	LOSKOT et al v. HAWES RANCH and FARM et al	2:2001cv00876-DFL	05/07/01
580	DLIL et al v. PAVILIONS SHOPPING et al	2:2001cv00893-LKK	05/09/01
581	ASHLEY et al v. FARMERS INS AGENCY et al	2:2001cv00894-FCD	05/09/01
582	PICKERN et al v. MARINOS PIZZA et al	2:2001cv01096-WBS	06/04/01
583	DORAN et al v. RED BLUFF CHRYSLER et al	2:2001cv01122-MCE	06/08/01
584	CONNALLY et al v. RIVER RANCH LODGE et al	2:2001cv01590-TFL	08/16/01
585	PICKERN et al v. ENLOE MEDICAL CTR	2:2001cv01710-MCE	09/07/01
586	D'LIL et al v. YREKA DAYS INN et al	2:2001cv01711-MLS	09/07/01
587	LOSKOT et al v. ANDERSON MEDICAL et al	2:2001cv01747-WBS	09/13/01
588	LOSKOT et al v. GERLINGER STEEL et al	2:2001cv01748-GEB	09/13/01

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
589	GERBER et al v. FLORENTINE RESTAURANT et al	2:2001cv01954-WBS	10/22/01
590	DORAN et al v. OROVILLE HOSPITAL et al	2:2001cv01998-DFL	10/29/01
591	LOSKOT et al v. SACTO CAPITOL CENTER et al	2:2002cv00086-WBS	01/14/02
592	WEYGANDT et al v. LITHIA CIMR INC et al	2:2002cv00092-MCE	01/14/02
593	DORAN et al v. CAMERON PARK INN et al	2:2002cv00267-GEB	02/01/02
594	LOSKOT et al v. 3 BROTHER'S REST et al	2:2002cv00275-MCE	02/04/02
595	DORAN et al v. WAFFLE SHOP et al	2:2002cv00916-MCE	04/29/02
596	DORAN et al v. DAYS INN OROVILLE et al	2:2002cv01208-MCE	06/05/02
597	DORAN et al v. SUNSET INN OROVILLE et al	2:2002cv01209-MCE	06/05/02
598	DORAN et al v. DQ ORANGEVALE et al	2:2002cv01241-DFL	06/10/02
599	DORAN et al v. WILD SPORTS ENT et al	2:2002cv01242-DFL	06/10/02
600	DORAN et al v. ROCKLIN DAYS INN et al	2:2002cv01273-MCE	06/12/02
601	DORAN et al v. CROWN MOTORS et al	2:2002cv01274-LKK	06/12/02
602	WEYGANDT et al v. LA CABANA MEXICAN et al	2:2002cv02048-MCE	09/18/02
603	JONES et al v. FAR EAST CAFÉ et al	2:2002cv02490-WBS	11/18/02
604	HARRIS et al v. KOFFEE KORNER et al	2:2002cv02508-GEB	11/19/02
605	LONG et al v. VIKING MOTOR LODGE et al	2:2002cv02523-WBS	11/21/02
606	FEEZOR et al v. EL MARIACHI et al	2:2002cv02617-WBS	12/06/02
607	CONE et al v. AMER RIVER DR ASSOC et al	2:2002cv02637-GEB	12/10/02
608	JONES et al v. EL MARIACHI REST et al	2:2002cv02751-LKK	12/30/02
609	DORAN et al v. BEST TAHOE WEST INN et al	2:2003cv00475-LKK	03/11/03
610	DORAN et al v. DISCOVERY PARK DAYS et al	2:2003cv00539-MCE	03/17/03
611	FEEZOR et al v. COMFORT INN VALLEJO et al	2:2003cv00540-LKK	03/17/03
612	JONES et al v. PARK MARINA VILLAGE et al	2:2003cv00697-FCD	04/04/03
613	DORAN et al v. BEST WESTERN GOLDEN et al	2:2003cv00758-LKK	04/14/03
614	DORAN et al v. RED LION HOTEL et al	2:2003cv00759-LKK	04/14/03
615	CONNALLY et al v CARL'S JR SANTA et al	2:2003cv00760-GEB	04/14/03
616	DORAN et al v. VALLEJO QUALITY INN et al	2:2003cv00804-FCD	04/18/03
617	WEYGANDT et al v. PIZZA HUT HILLTOP et al	2:2003cv00808-LKK	04/18/03
618	DORAN et al v. OILWELL MATERIALS et al	2:2003cv01052-WBS	05/19/03
619	FEEZOR et al v. CARROWS RESTAURANT et al	2:2003cv01417-GEB	07/03/03
620	STEVIE et al v. PIZZA HUT HILLTOP et al	2:2003cv01505-LKK	07/15/03
621	D'LIL et al v. BAKER'S SQUARE RST et al	2:2003cv01542-GEB	07/22/03
622	DORAN et al v. KING'S TRADING POST et al	2:2003cv01946-DFL	09/17/03
623	LOSKOT et al v. HARBOR INN et al	2:2003cv02189-MCE	10/17/03
624	LOSKOT et al v. BAKER'S SQUARE WEST et al	2:2003cv02337-GEB	11/10/03
625	BOWMAN et al v. BEST WESTERN STATION et al	2:2004cv00755-GEB	04/15/04
626	CHAPMAN et al v. PARK WEST OFFICE CTR et al	2:2004cv01520-FCD	08/03/04
627	CHAPMAN et al v. DAVIS ORTHOPEDIC et al	2:2004cv01668-WBS	08/16/04
628	LOSKOT et al v. NORTHSTATE RECYCLING et al	2:2004cv015670-LKK	08/16/04
629	LOSKOT et al v. ASIAN BUFFET INC et al	2:2004cv01719-DFL	08/23/04
630	LOSKOT et al v. UNITED PETROLEUM et al	2:2004cv01992-GEB	09/24/04
631	DORAN et al v. PEA SOUP ANDERSEN'S et al	1:2004cv06375-OWW	10/04/04
632	LOSKOT et al v. GREEN VALLEY FORD	2:2004cv02096-GEB	10/06/04
633	LOSKOT et al v. CASCADE RIGGING & SUPPLY COMPANY INC et al	2:2006cv01164-GEB	05/30/06
634	LOSKOT et al v. PEKING RESTAURANT et al	2:2007cv01324-FCD	07/05/07



Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.  
Exhibit A

	<b>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>		
635	LOSKOT et al v. BEST WESTERN INN AND SUITES VALLEJO et al	2:2007cv01519-FCD	07/26/07
636	CHAPMAN et al v. SUBWAY SALADS and SANDWICHES #14054 et al	2:2007cv01564-FCD	08/01/07

**CERTIFICATE OR PROOF OF SERVICE**

State of California                     )  
  ) ss  
County of Marin                     )

I, the undersigned, say: I am and was at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action or proceeding; that my business address is 4328 Redwood Hwy, Suite 300, San Rafael, CA 94903; that on the below date, following normal business practice, I served the foregoing document, described as:

**OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF RELATED CASES**

on the interested parties in this action, conveyed as follows:

- ☒ by depositing true copies thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid:
- ☒ in first class U.S. Mail

I am readily familiar with this office's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service or Federal Express. In the ordinary course of business, correspondence, including said envelope, will be deposited with the United States Postal Service or Federal Express in San Rafael.

addressed to:

Frank S. Moore  
Law Offices of Frank S. Moore, APC  
1374 Pacific Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Leo M. LaRocca, Esq.  
Niven & Smith  
425 California Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
San Francisco, CA 94104-2118

Janet M. Brayer  
Law Offices of Janet Brayer  
20 California Street, #201  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Lisa K. Padilla  
The Lembi Group  
2099 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94114

Rawhi Salem  
P.O. Box 1341  
Millbrae, CA 94030

Shivani Aggarwal  
114 Forest Lane  
San Rafael, CA 94903

Paul R. Perdue  
Attorney at Law  
369 Pine Street, Suite 610  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Robert S. Aaron, Esq.  
Aaron & Wilson, LLP  
150 Post Street, Suite 400  
San Francisco, CA 94108

Dena M. Roche  
Daniel J. O'Riely  
O'Riely, & Roche LLP  
101 California Street, Suite 2450  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Nancy S. Sullivan Walter, ESQ.  
Law Offices of Thomas O'Hagan  
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2070  
Oakland, CA 94612

1 Jeffrey A. Chen  
Law Offices of Jeffrey A. Chen  
2 275 Fifth Street, 4th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
3

Martin H. Orlick  
Matthew S. Kenefick  
Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Marmaro LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-3824

4  
5 H. Paul Bryant  
Law Office of H. Paul Bryant  
6 725 Washington Street, Suite 725  
Oakland, CA 94607  
7

Harrison Nam  
One Market Plaza  
Steuart Tower, 8<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
San Francisco, CA 94105

8 R. Michael Lieberman  
Law Office of R. Michael Lieberman  
9 1398 Post Street  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
10

Timothy Thimesch  
Thimesch Law Offices  
158 Hilltop Crescent  
Walnut Creek, CA 94576

11 The following parties were served via the United States District Court for the Northern  
12 District's e-filing **system**:

13 Matthew Scott Kenefick  
14 Martin H. Orlick  
Jeffer Mangels Butler & Marmaro LLP  
15 Two Embarcadero Center, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
16 415-398-8080  
415-398-5584 (fax)  
17 MKenefick@jmbm.com  
mho@jmbm.com  
18

Peter C. De Golia  
Jeffrey S. Lyons  
Clement Fitzpatrick & Kenworthy  
PC  
3333 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 200  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2261  
(707) 523-1181  
(707) 546-1360 (fax)  
pdegolia@cfk.com  
jlyons@cfk.com

19 Kurt A. Franklin  
20 Kevin Durrell Reese  
Hanson Bridgett LLP  
21 425 Market Street  
26th Floor  
22 San Francisco, CA 94105  
415-777-3200  
23 415-541-9366 (fax)  
kfranklin@hansonbridgett.com  
24 KReese@hansonbridgett.com

Merrilee C. Miller  
Law Offices of William J. Diffenderfer  
One Market, Spear Tower  
Suite 2150  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 348-4150  
800-914-3772 (fax)  
[merhag@safeco.com](mailto:merhag@safeco.com)

25 Janet M. Brayer  
Law Offices of Janet Brayer  
26 20 California Street, #201  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
27 415-445-9555  
415-445-9541 (fax)  
28 [janet@brayer.net](mailto:janet@brayer.net)

Peter C. De Golia  
Clement Fitzpatrick & Kenworthy, PC  
3333 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 200  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2261  
(707) 523-1181  
(707) 546-1360 (fax)  
pdegolia@cfk.com

1  
2  
3 Ara R. Jabaghourian  
4 Cotchett Pitre & McCarthy  
5 San Francisco Airport Office Center  
6 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200  
7 Burlingame, CA 94010  
8 650-697-6000  
9 650-697-0577 (fax)  
10 ajabaghourian@cpmlegal.com

Jaemin Chang  
Bay Capital Legal P.C.  
582 Market Street Suite 805  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
415 445 2570  
415 462 5737 (fax)  
jchang@capitallegal.com

7  
8 Curtis Edward Smolar  
9 Bay Capital Legal P.C.  
10 582 Market Street  
11 Suite 805  
12 San Francisco, CA 94104  
13 (415) 445-2570  
14 415-462-5737 (fax)  
15 Curtis@capitallegal.com

Marvin Pederson  
Attorney at Law  
1160 North Dutton Avenue  
Suite 150  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401  
707/544-9444  
707/544-5829 (fax)  
pederson@marvlaw.com

12  
13 Tyler Mark Paetkau  
14 Littler Mendelson, PC  
15 650 California Street  
16 San Francisco, CA 94108  
17 415-433-1940  
18 415-399-8490 (fax)  
19 TPaetkau@littler.com

Richard E. Morton  
Haight Brown & Bonesteel LLP  
5 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite 900  
Santa Ana, CA 92707  
714-754-1100  
714-754-0826 (fax)  
rmorton@hbblaw.com

17  
18 John Henry Feldmann, III  
19 Law Offices of John H. Feldmann III  
20 P.O. Box 150329  
21 211 Forbes Ave.  
22 San Rafael, CA 94915  
23 (415) 453-8249  
24 jhfiii@pacbell.net

George Joseph Keller  
Donald Douglas Shureen  
Jeffrey Eugene Duplicki  
McMillan & Shureen LLP  
Fifth Floor  
50 Santa Rosa Ave  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404-4908  
george.keller@mcmillanshureen.com  
doug.shureen@mcmillanshureen.com

24  
25 Gail F. Flatt  
26 Provencher & Flatt LLP  
27 823 Sonoma Avenue  
28 Santa Rosa, CA 95404-4714  
(707) 284-2380  
(707) 284-2387 (fax)  
gff@provlaw.com

Bradford John DeMeo  
DeMeo & DeMeo  
565 W. College Avenue  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401  
707-545-3232  
707-545-1725 (fax)  
demeo5@sonic.net  
jwest37333@sbcglobal.net

1 Patrick E. Guevara  
2 Randick O'Dea & Tooliatos, LLP  
3 5000 Hopyard Road  
4 Suite 400  
5 Pleasanton, CA 94588  
(925) 460-3700  
(925) 460-0969 (fax)  
pguevara@randicklaw.com

Michelle Valerie Zyromski  
Zyromski Konicek LLP  
2455 Bennett Valley Road, Suite B-204  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404  
(707) 542-1393  
(707) 542-7697 (fax)  
mzyromski@zkklegal.com

6 Wing Cheong Lee  
7 Attorney at Law  
8 275 6th Avenue, #102  
9 San Francisco, CA 94113  
415-831-8816  
wingcleee88@hotmail.com

Jason George Gong  
Livingston Law Firm  
1600 South Main Street  
Suite 280  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
(925) 952-9880  
(925) 952-9881 (fax)  
jgong@livingstonlawyers.com

11  
12 Matthew Philip Harrington  
13 Hughes & Gill, P.C.  
14 1600 S. Main Street  
Suite 315  
15 Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
925-926-1200  
925-926-1202 (fax)  
mharrington@hughes-gill.com

Alison M. Crane  
Bledsoe, Cathcart, Diestel &  
Pedersen  
601 California Street  
16th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94108-2805  
415-981-5411  
415-981-0352 (fax)  
Acrane@bledsoelaw.com

17 James Albert Sarraill  
18 Sarraill, Lynch & Hall, LLP  
19 700 Airport Blvd., Suite 420  
20 Burlingame, CA 94010  
650-685-9200  
650-685-9206 (fax)  
jsarraill@slhlawfirm.com

Lawrence Allen Baskin  
Krause & Baskin  
1120 Nye St Ste 300  
San Rafael, CA 94901  
(415) 456-2500  
(415) 456-1580 (fax)  
larry@baskinlaw.com

21  
22  
23  
24 Todd Alan Angstadt  
25 Phillips Spallas et al LLP  
26 650 California St 10FL  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
415-278-9400  
415-278-9411 (fax)

Andrew K. Jacobson  
Bay Oak Law Firm, APLC  
180 Grand Ave, Ste 700  
Oakland, CA 94612-3763  
510-208-5500  
510-208-5511 (fax)  
andy@bayoaklaw.com

Kathleen Darmagnac  
Stubbs & Leone  
2175 N. California Blvd, Ste. 900  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
925-974-8600  
925-974-8601 (fax)  
darmagnack@stubbsleone.com

Jon C. Yonemitsu  
Gordon & Rees LLP  
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(415) 986-5900  
(415) 986-8054 (fax)  
jyonemitsu@gordonrees.com

David W. Evans  
Nairi Chakalian  
Haight Brown & Bonesteel LLP  
71 Stevenson Street, 20th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2981  
(415) 546-7500  
(415) 546-7505 (fax)  
devans@hbblaw.com  
nchakalian@hbblaw.com

James J. Zenere  
Sheuerman Martini & Tabari  
A Professional Corporation  
1033 Willow Street  
San Jose, CA 95125  
(408) 288-9700  
(408) 295-9900 (fax)  
[jzenere@smtlaw.com](mailto:jzenere@smtlaw.com)

Alden John Parker  
Basham Parker, LLP  
701 University Ave., Ste. 220  
Sacramento, CA 95825  
(916) 925-5850  
(916) 925-5854  
alden@bashamparker.com

Lizbeth V. West  
Weintraub Gensler Chediak, LC  
400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made, and that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 2, 2008, at San Rafael, California.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Pepper B. Maupin  
(Original signed)